

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s): Charles E. Taylor and Jim L. Lee
SC/Serial No.: 10/074,082
Confirm. No.: 8109
Filed: February 12, 2002
Title: ELECTRO-KINETIC AIR TRANSPORTER-
CONDITIONER DEVICES WITH AN UPSTREAM
FOCUS ELECTRODE

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 1753
Examiner: Rodney G. McDonald

Customer No. 23910

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to **Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**, on October 25, 2004.

(Attorney Signature)

Michael Robbins, Reg. No. 54,774

Signature Date: October 25, 2004

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- ☒ Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- ☒ A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an information disclosure statement submitted

10/29/2004 YPOLITE1 00000003 10074082

02 FC:1806

180.00 OP

- 1 -

Attorney Docket No.: SHPR-01041USL SRM/MLR
srm/shpr/1041/1041usL/ids3.wpd

in the parent application which complies with the Sept. 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).

This statement should be considered because:

— **37 C.F.R. §1.97(b).** This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

✓ — **37 C.F.R. §1.97(c).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (c) because:

- (1) It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL office action, a Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the subject application, whichever occurs first.

-- AND (check at least one of the following) --

— (1) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e).
-- OR --

✓ — (2) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).


— **37 C.F.R. §1.97(d).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b) or (c), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (d) because:

- (1) It is being filed on or before payment of the issue fee;
-- AND --
- (2) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e);
-- AND --
- (3) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).


✓ **Fee Authorization.** The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 25, 2004

By: 
Michael Robbins
Reg. No. 54,774

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone (415) 362-3800



Form PTO-1449 (Substitute)	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Attorney Docket Number SHPR-01041USL	Serial/Patent Number 10/074,082
		Applicant/Patent Owner Charles E. Taylor and Jim L. Lee	
		Filing/Issue Date February 12, 2002	Group Art Unit 1741

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
BY APPLICANT**

(Use several sheets if necessary)

U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	First Named Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	1.	5,012,159	Apr. 30, 1991	Torok et al.	315	111.91	Nov. 15, 1989
	2.	5,077,500	Dec. 31, 1991	Torok et al.	315	111.91	Aug. 7, 1989
	3.	5,180,404	Jan. 19, 1993	Loreth et al.	96	56	Jun. 10, 1991

PENDING U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS

Examiner Initial		Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Inventor	Petition to Expunge? Yes No

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Subclass	<u>Translation</u> Yes No	
	4.	WO 92/05875	Apr. 16, 1992	PCT				

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)

Examiner	Date Considered
<p>*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.</p> <p>*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN <u> / </u>, filed <u> </u>, 20<u> </u>, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p> <p>*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN <u> / </u>, filed <u> </u>, 20<u> </u>, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p>	